UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,978	08/03/2006	Sang-mun Jeong	29137.165.00	7092
30827 7590 02/03/2009 MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW WA SHINGTON DG 20006			EXAMINER	
			WOOD, ELIZABETH D	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/587,978	JEONG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Elizabeth D. Wood	1793			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	-· action is non-final.				
<i>;</i> —	-				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
		3.3.2.3.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 				
	•	d III triis National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Page No(s) Mail Date					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/26/06</u> . 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/587,978 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

Specification

The examiner has not checked the specification to the extent necessary to determine the presence of **all** possible minor errors (grammatical, typographical and idiomatic). Cooperation of the applicant(s) is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant(s) may become aware of in the specification, in the claims and in any future amendment(s) that applicant(s) may file.

Applicant(s) is also requested to complete the status of any copending applications referred to in the specification by their Attorney Docket Number or Application Serial Number, **if any**.

The status of the parent application(s) and/or any other application(s) cross-referenced to this application, if **any**, should be updated in a timely manner.

Information Disclosure Statement

Any documents not considered on the information disclosure statement are not accompanied by an English language translation. Regarding any documents cited in any related proceedings, if they are not provided and listed on a PTO-1449, they will not be considered by the office.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/587,978 Page 3

Art Unit: 1793

Claims 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are indefinite in the recitation of "adding" in step "b". As written, it implies that zeolite and water are added to the container in this step, when a reading of the specification indicates that this takes place in step "c". Accordingly, ambiguity is introduced. Use of the terms "mixing" or "combining" may serve to obviate this rejection.

Claim Objections

Claims 3 and 9 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s) or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form. These claims recite limitations directed to the method of use of the material, which fails to limit the composition and method under examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 3,833,499 to Egan et al.

The instantly claimed invention is directed to a catalyst composition and method for the production thereof wherein a zeolite material is supported in the pores of a metal oxide.

Egan et al. are relied on for the teaching that compositions containing zeolite material combined with inorganic oxide are known in the art. Egan et al. disclose such cracking catalysts and teach impregnation of the zeolite material (or zeolite metal

material) into a metal oxide support material. Although the reference does not specifically state that the zeolite is fixed in the pores of the support, it is considered that it is intuitive that when one applies the disclosed technique to the impregnation of a support material with a zeolite, that the zeolite will deposit and thus be "fixed in the pores" of the support. Indeed, this is the very purpose of making a catalyst by employing the incipient wetness technique. Accordingly, the instant cliams would have been obvious to the skilled artisan at the time the invention was made.

With respect to limitations regarding the shape of the catalyst, it is considered that such is a matter of design choice to the engineer practicing in this field as such is dependent upon process and reactor considerations.

Regarding the method of making the catalyst, it is considered that any known technique of supporting a material on a support would have been obvious to the skilled artisans. As it is well known that vacuum impregnation facilitates the application of catalytic substances onto carriers (see, for example, US 3,487,011), it is considered that selection of such technique would have been within the skill of the artisan, absent some unexpected results. Moreover, it is further considered that in the instant situation, it would have been expected that the catalyst would be fixed into the pores by selection of such technique, or any equivalent technique such as immersion, dip or incipient wetness. In fact, one of the primary purposes for applying catalytic material to a porous material is specifically to distribute it across the porous surface area to increase the active surface area of the catalytic material that will contact the intended feedstock. See particularly columns 3-4 and the examples.

Art Unit: 1793

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is advised that due to the extreme breadth of the claims under examination in this application, All of the prior art of record is highly pertinent and should be kept in mind when presenting amendments and arguments.

Applicants are advised that any evidence to be provided under 37 CFR 1.131 or 1.132 and any amendments to the claims and specification should be submitted prior to final rejection to be considered timely. It is anticipated that the next office action will be a final rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth D. Wood whose telephone number is 571-272-1377. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/587,978 Page 7

Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Elizabeth D. Wood/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793

/E. D. W./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793